25 April 1991

M. Lewis Coody, P.E.
Ronald J. Kruhl, P.E.
P.O. Box 10355
* College Station, Texas 77842

Anchor Foundation Repair Co., Inc.

RE: Pressed Pile System

In accordance with your request, we have reviewed the literature you provided us on the "pressed pile system" (PPS) which uses six inch diameter by twelve inch long unconnected concrete cylinders with a large concrete block pile cap. We have evaluated this underpinning method in general and made comparisons to the foundation repair system utilizing drilled piers with underreams. The following discussion reflects our most prudent professional opinions based on our knowledge of and extensive experience in commercial and residential foundation design involving expansive clay soils. We certify that we made the study as independent professional engineers and have no interest, present or prospective in either the PPS or drilled pier system or anyone involved with these systems.

Live Loads Required By Building Codes

It is stated in the PPS "Specifications for the Design and Installation of Underpinning for the Foundation of a Structure" provided by you that the piles shall be designed to permit only an "acceptable" amount of settlement in supporting a combination of all dead loads and live loads required by the applicable building codes. The dead load of the structure and only the actual live load superimposed at the time of installation are used to resist the jacking
force required to install the PPS. In most cases the actual live load, at any
given time, is a very small percentage of the live load required by the building
code. The PPS, therefore, will not be capable of supporting the maximum
combination of dead load and live load as stated in the specifications without
excessive settlement. Furthermore, since only the dead load and existing live
load at the time of installation are used in resisting the jacking force no factor
of safety can be incorporated. Responsible engineering practice is always
based on some reasonable factor of safety. If the live load is increased, until it
reaches that which is required by the building codes, the structure could
experience differential settlement which would most likely be far beyond
"acceptable" limits.

On the other hand, the drilled pier with its large bearing area provided by the
underream has the capacity to resist additional loads. The combination of skin
friction on the larger shaft and the much larger bearing area of the
underream results in low initial shear and bearing stresses on the soil. This
leaves reserve capacity to support increased live loads required by the
building code. The proper combination of pier spacing, shaft diameter and
underream diameter as well as bearing depth can provide a factor of safety to
prevent future settlement which is consistent with good engineering practice.

**Characteristics of the Driven Pile**

Piles pressed into clay deposits will be characterized as being either friction
piles or point bearing piles. The character of the pile will depend on the type
of clay and moisture content of the clay at the time of installation. If the pile
encounters a soft saturated plastic clay it will take on the characteristics of a
friction pile. Although the term friction pile implies that the shearing forces
between pile and soil are derived from friction, they may also consist of
surface adhesion. If a friction pile has parallel sides, as does the PPS, the load
is transferred from the pile to the soil principally through shear. If piles are
driven in soft saturated plastic clay the shear consists primarily of adhesion
and very little resistance is derived from true friction. When seasonal drying
occurs, it progresses from the surface downward and as the clay gives up
moisture, the shear resistance (friction due to adhesion) will be lost as the
plastic clay shrinks away from the pile. To maintain the applied load capacity
the lower levels of the pile will have to develop increased resistance. For this to happen the lower portion of the non-tapered PPS will have to settle to encounter clay soil of higher consolidation or less compressability. Settlement must occur to encounter increased soil strength at greater depths to develop and maintain resistance to a given load. Most likely this settlement will not be equal throughout the structure and the structure will inherently fail (or fail again) due to the resulting distress.

Furthermore, there is no assurance that the PPS will be driven straight or what the effective length of the pile will be in soft saturated plastic clays. If one portion of the tip section encounters a foreign object such as a rock or tree root it may skew off to one side. This tip section may in turn cause other sections of the pile to skew off as well. This, of course, could not be detected by the installer. Although a large number of sections may be jacked into the ground there is no guarantee that the pile is deep and that adequate long term capacity will be sustained.

Conversely, if the pile encounters a stiff unsaturated plastic clay when pressed, it will take on the characteristics of a point bearing pile which contradicts the initial assumption of the PPS. Piles installed in stiff, unsaturated plastic clay will typically be shallow because the stiff clay will be capable of developing possible high shearing (skin friction) resistance, and considerable point bearing resistance. As seasonal changes occur, the clay will again become saturated. As the moisture migrates downward the clay soil at the tip of the shallow pile will begin to loose the high bearing strength it possessed in the unsaturated state. The PPS has a non tapered shaft and a small bearing area. Settlement will occur when the high compressive stresses in the soil under the pile tip exceed the now reduced compressive strength of the saturated soil.

Conclusions

The pressed pile system when compared to drilled piers with underreams has several inherent disadvantages. Settlement can occur in varying amounts after the piles are pressed into saturated expansive clay soil because friction resistance will be lost when the soil loses moisture and pulls away from the
pile. Settlement can also occur after the piles are pressed into unsaturated expansive clay soil because bearing resistance is lost when the soil gains moisture and loses its compressive strength.

Also, the unconnected sections and the non integral pile cap of the PPS will not provide resistance to uplift which will occur when the moisture content of the unsaturated clay soil increases. Uplift will cause material distress to the structure similar to settlement.

The pier and underream system is not as susceptible to loss of load carrying capacity and settlement due to the effects of change of moisture content because the primary load carrying element, the underream, is located at a depth where the moisture content of the soil is constant or changes very little. The underream on a reinforced drilled pier not only reduces compressive bearing stresses on the soil but also acts as an anchor to resist uplift forces on the upper portion of the pier. The underream prevents the pier from rising and lifting the structure above its original position. The reinforced drilled pier with underream has definite advantages over the pressed pile system for eliminating long term settlement and uplift on expansive clay soils.

We trust these comments will be helpful to you. If we can be of further assistance please let us know.

Sincerely,

M. Lewis Coody, P.E.

Ronald J. Kruhl, P.E.
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